Integrating Motus tracking into aerofauna monitoring at offshore wind projects

Evan Adams, Kate Williams, Andrew Gilbert, Erik Carlson, Doug Gobeille, Stuart Mackenzie, and Pam Loring

evan.adams@briwildlife.org



© Peter Paton

Atlantic Offshore Wind Assessment Collaborative





Motus.org/resources













Extent of current Motus network



Offshore Deployments Can Fill This Gap



- Create clear guidance for integrating Motus stations into offshore wind projects
- Provide decision support tools for designing offshore Motus monitoring studies
- Determine the optimal deployment of resources for assessing the presence/absence and movements of offshore bird species

- Create clear guidance for integrating Motus stations into offshore wind projects
- Provide decision support tools for designing offshore Motus monitoring studies
- Determine the optimal deployment of resources for assessing the presence/absence and movements of offshore bird species



https://seagrant.gso.uri.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Block-Island-Wind-Farm-1.jpg



Offshore station calibration

Sea-based methods

Air-based methods



Offshore Station calibration

- Detection field is estimated using signal strength values from known position calibration points
- Both omni and directional antennas calibrated
- Used for method evaluation, position estimation and study design assessment



Motus simulation



Movement Tracks

Station locations and calibrations

Estimated detections

Motus Design Analysis



Results: Seabirds



Key Takeaways:

Number of individuals detected plateaus after 3-4 stations

Effort translates into more positions detected and cumulative detection probability

Flight height increases detection probability by a small amount

Results: Seabirds



Key Takeaways:

Number of individuals detected plateaus after 3-4 stations

Effort translates into more positions detected and cumulative detection probability

Flight height increases detection probability by a small amount

Results: Seabirds



Key Takeaways: Number of individuals detected plateaus after 3-4 stations Effort translates into

more positions detected and cumulative detection probability

50

Flight height increases detection probability by a small amount

Results: Shorebirds





Number of individuals detected plateaus after 3 stations Effort translates into more positions detected and cumulative detection probability Flight height increases detection probability by a small amount

Key Takeaways:

Results: Shorebirds





Key Takeaways: Number of individuals detected plateaus after 3 stations Effort translates into more positions detected and cumulative detection probability Flight height increases detection probability by a small amount

Results: Shorebirds





Number of individuals detected plateaus after 3 stations Effort translates into more positions detected and cumulative detection probability Flight height increases detection probability by a small amount

Key Takeaways:

Summary

- Increased Motus effort lead to increases in individuals detected, positions detected, and cumulative detection probability for both species groups
- Flight height made little difference to detection metrics within species
- The number of individuals detected plateau after ~3-4 Motus stations (20-27% of turbines)
- The number of positions detected and the cumulative detection probability increased with survey effort
 - Seabirds showed a consistently linear increase with shorebirds perhaps showing the beginnings of diminishing returns around 4-6 stations (27-40% of turbines)
- As the Motus collaborative has studies with a wide variety of goals, we should consider multiple objectives in offshore deployments

Study Design Tool

v0.60 - Hippo 🜎 🚊 Automated Telemetry Study Design Tool bri I) Study area and array Study area data Detection results Study design report 🖋 Design a study Tag detection range plot by flight height Receiver Detection Map 🚱 Upload a study 8000 1.00 + \leq Add default study Bishop and Clerks Nahtucket Sound Main Channel Remove study 6000 0.75 range (m) 2) Input parameters ap un 4000 Horsesho 0.50 8 "A" Receiving Station Params Shoal dete est. Detection parameters يو / مو prop Max * * * * 200 0.25 study area covered) Detection array creation max detection dis **Optimization type:** 0.00 None (manual selection) 40 60 80 100 Flight height (m) Coverage optimized Leaflet | Powered by Esri | USGS, NOAA, Public information, no credit necessary, Compiler is Stephen Creed - Bureau of Ocean Density optimized Avoidance optimized Station antenna angles Tag detection data Detection polygon color Flight height (m) 🔶 theta 🗄 Max detection range (m) Study area covg. Antenna coverage overlap Min. covg. flag station 45 25 0.79 0.64 1 39 7,781 psychedelic 2 39 135 3 39 225 Generate array 39 315 4 🚣 Download 45 5 88 Computing data completed 100 m step 4 of 4 flight heights, at: 2022-02-07 16:05:23, 88 135 6 elapsed time: 1.3 minutes

Let us know if you are interested in testing out the tool! evan.adams@briwildlife.org

Deployment Guidance



Overall Goal:

To develop standardized protocols for using automated radio telemetry to monitor birds and bats in offshore environments.

Guidance should be out later this year. Let me know if you want to see a draft version!



evan.adams@briwildlife.org

Questions?

evan.adams@briwildlife.org

J@eco_evan

© Peter Paton